



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO: |
|--------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/806,733 | 03/23/2004 | Michael W. Hawman | EH-10536 B | 2544 |
| 52237 | 7590 | 09/11/2007 | EXAMINER | |
| BACHMAN & LAPOINTE, P.C. (P&W) | | | JARRETT, RYAN A | |
| 900 CHAPEL STREET | | | | |
| SUITE 1201 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| NEW HAVEN, CT 06510-2802 | | | 2125 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 09/11/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Office Action Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/806,733 | HAWMAN ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Ryan A. Jarrett | 2125 | |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 June 2007.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 17-27 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 17-21 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 22 and 27 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) 23-26 is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

| | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 06/22/07 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 22 and 27 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marshall et al. US 6,728,610 in view of Markman US 5,962,834.

Marshall et al. discloses:

22. A gas turbine engine part (e.g., col. 1 lines 9-11), comprising:

a paper tag comprising at least one part identifier information affixed to a gas turbine engine part having undergone a maintenance operation, wherein said tag corresponds to an electronic record and is a substitute for a plurality of paperwork pertaining to said maintenance operation (e.g., col. 1 lines 60-65: “In one embodiment, the ‘as flying’ configuration can be determined, at least in part, by querying bar codes associated with one or more engine components. Alternatively, or in combination with the use of such bar codes, the ‘as flying’ configuration can be determined by querying microchips associated with one or more engine components”, col. 3 lines 13-29: “Alternatively, or in combination, programmable devices capable of storing, receiving, and/or sending data can be associated with one or more engine components. In one embodiment, microchips can be associated with the components, and can be queried by the maintenance system. For example, microchips known as ‘smart tags’ can be attached to or otherwise associated with the components.”).

Art Unit: 2125

27. **The gas turbine engine part of claim 22, wherein said maintenance operation is a maintenance, repair or overhaul of said part** (e.g., col. 3 lines 13-29, EN: *Apparatus claims must be structurally distinguishable from the prior art. The type of "operation" undergone by the claimed "gas turbine engine part" does not limit the structure of the gas turbine engine part.*).

Marshall et al. does not explicitly disclose that the bar code is a paper tag. However, it is well known for bar codes to be implemented on paper tags. Marshall et al. is silent as to the specifics of the bar code "tag" and how it is implemented.

Markman discloses an inventory tracking system comprising affixing paper bar code tags to products to be tracked (e.g., col. 2 lines 7-22).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to make implement the bar code of Marshall et al. on a paper tag, as taught by Markman, in order to prevent the bar code of Marshall et al. from being permanently imprinted on the gas turbine engine part, thus increasing system flexibility.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 23-26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Election/Restrictions

Claims 23-26 are allowable. The restriction requirement among Groups I and II, as set forth in the Office action mailed on 05/08/06, has been reconsidered in view of the allowability of claims to the elected invention pursuant to MPEP § 821.04(a). **The restriction requirement is hereby withdrawn as to any claim that requires all the limitations of an allowable claim.** Claims 17-21, directed to a computerized method and system of assisting the teardown of a product, are withdrawn from further consideration because they do not require all the limitations of an allowable generic linking claim as required by 37 CFR 1.141.

In view of the above noted withdrawal of the restriction requirement, applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Once a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. See *In re Ziegler*, 443 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

Applicant is advised to cancel claims 17-21 (and roll up claim 23) so that the case can pass to issue.

Art Unit: 2125

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ryan A. Jarrett whose telephone number is (571) 272-3742. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00-6:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leo Picard can be reached on (571) 272-3749. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ryan A. Jarrett
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2125



09/03/07